# ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCIENCES:: RAJAMPET

(An Autonomous Institution)

## Department of Computer Applications

## Meeting Minutes of Student Feedback

Date: 09-02-2018

Venue: MCA Department

**Members Present:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Dr.C.Madana Kumar Reddy | Assoc. Prof & HOD |
| 2. | Mr. V.Sathyendra Kumar | Asst. Professor |
| 3. | Mr. C.Sasidhar | Asst. Professor |
| 4. | MrsP.Kavitha | Asst. Professor |
| 5. | Mrs. P.Swathi | Asst. Professor |
| 6. | Mr. N. Narasimha Prasad | Asst. Professor |

**Members Absent:  Nil**

Following are the points discussed in the meeting.

Item1 :1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to what you have studied in the previous semester?

Action Taken : Taking care of the connectivity in courses.

Item2 : How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies/outcomes expected out of the course?

Action Taken :  Outcome based syllabus included.

HOD

ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY & SCIENCES::RAJAMPET
(AN AUTONOMOUS INSTITUTION)
MCA DEPARTMENT
STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS -2017 -2018

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 10 | 24.39 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 30 | 73.17 |
| 3. GOOD | 1 | 2.44 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

2. How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 48.78 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 48.78 |
| 3. GOOD | 1 | 2.44 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

3. How do you rate the relavence of the units in syllabus relevant to the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 48.78 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 36.59 |
| 3. GOOD | 6 | 14.63 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

4. How do youRate the sequence of the units in the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 17 | 41.46 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 24 | 58.54 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

5. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 15 | 36.59 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 48.78 |
| 3. GOOD | 6 | 14.63 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

6. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 25 | 60.98 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 16 | 39.02 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

7. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 16 | 39.02 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 25 | 60.98 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

8. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 18 | 43.90 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 23 | 56.10 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

9. How do you rate the relevance of the Text books and reference books by their

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 26 | 63.41 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 36.59 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

10. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 28 | 68.29 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 13 | 31.71 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

11. Rate the courses in terks of extra learning or self learning considering the design of

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 30 | 73.17 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 11 | 26.83 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

12. Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether th preceding

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 31 | 75.61 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 24.39 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

13. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 33 | 80.49 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 8 | 19.51 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

14. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 35 | 85.37 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 6 | 14.63 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

15. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 37 | 90.24 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 4 | 9.76 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

16. How do you rate competencies expected out of the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 39 | 95.12 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 2 | 4.88 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

17. how do you rate the composition of the courses interms o basic science, Engineering

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 35 | 85.37 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 6 | 14.63 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

18. How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 33 | 80.49 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 8 | 19.51 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

19. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 40 | 97.56 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 1 | 2.44 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

20. How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 39 | 95.12 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 2 | 4.88 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 41 | 100.00 |

# ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCIENCES:: RAJAMPET

## Department of Computer Applications

## Meeting Minutes of Student Feedback

Date: 06-01-2017

Venue: MCA Department

**Members Present:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Dr.C.Madana Kumar Reddy | Assoc. Prof & HOD |
| 2. | Mr. V.Sathyendra Kumar | Asst. Professor |
| 3. | Mr. C.Sasidhar | Asst. Professor |
| 4. | Mrs. P.Kavitha | Asst. Professor |
| 5. | Mrs. P.Swathi | Asst. Professor |
| 6. | Mr. N. Narasimha Prasad | Asst. Professor |

**Members Absent:   Nil**

Following are the points discussed in the meeting.

Item1 : How to rate the relevance of the text books and reference books by their international recognition to the courses?
Action Taken : Considering standard international books.

Item2 : How to rate the experiments in relation to the real life applications
Action Taken : Real life applications included in the list of ecperiments.

HOD

# YEAR 2016 -2017

## (STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS)

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 10 | 30.30 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 60.61 |
| 3. GOOD | 3 | 9.09 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

2. How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 60.61 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 13 | 39.39 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

3. How do you rate the relavence of the units in syllabus relevant to the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 15 | 45.45 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 45.45 |
| 3. GOOD | 3 | 9.09 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

4. How do youRate the sequence of the units in the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 25 | 75.76 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 8 | 24.24 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

5. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 15 | 45.45 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 18 | 54.55 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

6. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 23 | 69.70 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 30.30 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

7. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 11 | 33.33 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 60.61 |
| 3. GOOD | 2 | 6.06 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

8. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 5 | 15.15 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 23 | 69.70 |
| 3. GOOD | 5 | 15.15 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

9. How do you rate the relevance of the Text books and reference books by their

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 3 | 9.09 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 30.30 |
| 3. GOOD | 20 | 60.61 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

10. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 60.61 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 30.30 |
| 3. GOOD | 3 | 9.09 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

11. Rate the courses in terks of extra learning or self learning considering the design of

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 13 | 39.39 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 60.61 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

12. Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether th preceding

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 15 | 45.45 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 45.45 |
| 3. GOOD | 3 | 9.09 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

13. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 1 | 3.03 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 30 | 90.91 |
| 3. GOOD | 2 | 6.06 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

14. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 16 | 48.48 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 45.45 |
| 3. GOOD | 2 | 6.06 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

15. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 5 | 15.15 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 25 | 75.76 |
| 3. GOOD | 3 | 9.09 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

16. How do you rate competencies expected out of the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 22 | 66.67 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 30.30 |
| 3. GOOD | 1 | 3.03 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

17. how do you rate the composition of the courses interms o basic science, Engineering

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 30 | 90.91 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 2 | 6.06 |
| 3. GOOD | 1 | 3.03 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

18. How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 31 | 93.94 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 1 | 3.03 |
| 3. GOOD | 1 | 3.03 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

19. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 2 | 6.06 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 30 | 90.91 |
| 3. GOOD | 1 | 3.03 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

20. How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 5 | 15.15 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 5 | 15.15 |
| 3. GOOD | 23 | 69.70 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 33 | 100.00 |

# ANNAMACHARYA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY SCIENCES:: RAJAMPET

(An Autonomous Institution)

## Department of Computer Applications

## Meeting Minutes of Student Feedback

Date: 19-02-2016

Venue: MCA Department

**Members Present:**

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 1. | Dr.C.Madana Kumar Reddy | Assoc. Prof & HOD |
| 2. | Mr. V.Sathyendra Kumar | Asst. Professor |
| 3. | Mr. C.Sasidhar | Asst. Professor |
| 4. | MrsP.Kavitha | Asst. Professor |
| 5. | Mrs. P.Swathi | Asst. Professor |
| 6. | Mr. N. Narasimha Prasad | Asst. Professor |

**Members Absent:** Nil

Following are the points discussed in the meeting.

Item1 : How to rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?
Action Taken : Credits allocation is going on as per the Professional bodies rules.

Item2 : How to rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self learning considering the design of curriculum

Action Taken : Motivating the students towards self and extra learning activities.

HOD

# YEAR 2015 - 2016
## (STUDENT FEEDBACK ANALYSIS)

1. How do you rate the sequence of the courses that you have studied are in sequence to

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 10 | 23.26 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 18 | 41.86 |
| 3. GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

2. How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 3 | 6.98 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 46.51 |
| 3. GOOD | 20 | 46.51 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

3. How do you rate the relavence of the units in syllabus relevant to the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 46.51 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 46.51 |
| 3. GOOD | 3 | 6.98 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

4. How do you Rate the sequence of the units in the course?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 13 | 30.23 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| 3. GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

5. How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 3 | 6.98 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 8 | 18.60 |
| 3. GOOD | 30 | 69.77 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 2 | 4.65 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

## 6. How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 23 | 53.49 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 20 | 46.51 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

## 7. How do you rate the offering of the electives in terms of their relevance to the

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 3 | 6.98 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| 3. GOOD | 25 | 58.14 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

## 8. How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 3 | 6.98 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 25 | 58.14 |
| 3. GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

## 9. How do you rate the relevance of the Text books and reference books by their

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 5 | 11.63 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| 3. GOOD | 23 | 53.49 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

## 10. Rate the size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 3 | 6.98 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 23.26 |
| 3. GOOD | 30 | 69.77 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

## 1. Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self learning considering the design of

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| EXCELLENT | 10 | 23.26 |
| 'ERY GOOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| OOD | 15 | 34.88 |
| /ERAGE | 3 | 6.98 |
| 'OR | 0 | 0.00 |
| L | 43 | 100.00 |

12. Rate the courses in terms of sequence of offering considering whether the preceding

| | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 11 | 25.58 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 23.26 |
| 3. GOOD | 20 | 46.51 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 2 | 4.65 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

13. How do you rate the loading of the courses in a semester?

| | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 46.51 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 11 | 25.58 |
| 3. GOOD | 12 | 27.91 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

14. How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?

| | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 42 | 97.67 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 1 | 2.33 |
| 3. GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

15. How do you rate the objectives stated for each of the course?

| | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 1 | 2.33 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 0 | 0.00 |
| 3. GOOD | 42 | 97.67 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

16. How do you rate competencies expected out of the course?

| | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 2 | 4.65 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 1 | 2.33 |
| 3. GOOD | 40 | 93.02 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

17. how do you rate the composition of the courses interms of basic science, Engineering

| | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 10 | 23.26 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 10 | 23.26 |
| 3. GOOD | 23 | 53.49 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

18. How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 20 | 46.51 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 13 | 30.23 |
| 3. GOOD | 10 | 23.26 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

19. How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 5 | 11.63 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 8 | 18.60 |
| 3. GOOD | 30 | 69.77 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |

20. How do you rate the experiments in relation to the real life Applications?

|  | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |
|---|---|---|
| 1. EXCELLENT | 2 | 4.65 |
| 2. VERY GOOD | 3 | 6.98 |
| 3. GOOD | 38 | 88.37 |
| 4. AVERAGE | 0 | 0.00 |
| 5. POOR | 0 | 0.00 |
| TOTAL | 43 | 100.00 |